

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/514,598		02/28/2000	Sadeg M. Faris	105-081USA000 8586		
26665	7590	02/28/2005	•	EXAMINER		
REVEO, I				BORISSOV, IGOR N		
3 WESTCH ELMSFOR		LAZA 0523		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3629		
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•					
Advisory Action	09/514,598	FARIS ET AL.						
navious y nousin	Examiner	Art Unit	- 1					
	Igor Borissov	3629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		in the final releation whi	ioboverie leter de					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 8 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:	UM:N Supervisory	G. WITS						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>175-185 and 191</u> .	TECKUL SUPERAISUMA	REXIMAKA COSS RE						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	1	201 0000						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by tl	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								
								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues, because adding the following limitation: "wherein said game server further includes a device trajectory monitoring server operably connected to the infrastructure of the Internet, said device trajectory monitoring server configured for web access for owners to monitor said client meachines through time and space coordinates" changes scope of claims 175, 179 and 191 which was not considered during prosecution of said claims.